

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & §  
SMITH, LLP, §  
§  
Plaintiff, §  
§  
v. §  
§ Case No. 4:22-cv-3279  
§  
MICHAEL JOSEPH BITGOOD, §  
a/k/a "Michael Easton," §  
et al., §  
§  
Defendants. §

\*\*\*\*\*

ORAL DEPOSITION OF

DAVID A. OUBRE

APRIL 1, 2024

\*\*\*\*\*

ORAL DEPOSITION OF DAVID A. OUBRE, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendants, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 1st day of April, 2024, from 12:34 p.m. to 2:32 p.m., before John G. Rochelle, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at 515 Rusk Street, Courtroom 3A, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

**Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc.  
(800) 745-1101**

**MSJ Exhibit 7 - Defendants' Response  
Page 1 of 71**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 2

1 A P P E A R A N C E S  
2

3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF, LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &amp; SMITH LLP:

4 Mr. Bennett G. Fisher  
bennett.fisher@lewisbrisbois.com5 Mr. William S. Helfand  
bill.helfand@lewisbrisbois.com6 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP  
24 Greenway Plaza, Suite 14007 Houston, Texas 77046  
Phone: (713) 659-67678  
9

10 FOR THE DEFENDANT, BRADLEY BEERS:

11 Mr. S. Wallace Dunwoody IV  
wdunwoody@munckwilson.com  
12 Munck Wilson Mandala  
600 Banner Place Tower  
13 12770 Coit Road  
Dallas, Texas 75251  
14 Phone: (972) 628-361615  
16FOR THE DEFENDANT, MICHAEL JOSEPH BITGOOD, A/K/A  
17 "MICHAEL EASTON," ET AL:18 Mr. Michael Joseph Bitgood (pro se)  
eastprolaw@msn.com  
19 EastProLaw  
503 FM 359, Suite 130  
20 Richmond, Texas 77406  
Phone: (281) 415-865521  
22  
23  
24  
25

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 3

1 FOR THE DEFENDANT, RICHARD P. JONES:

2 Ms. Susan C. Norman  
3 suenorman@suenormanlaw.com  
4 Law Office of Susan C. Norman  
5 P.O. Box 55585  
6 Houston, Texas 77255  
7 Phone: (713) 882-2066

8  
9  
10 PRESIDING JUDGE:

11 Mr. Keith P. Ellison

12 ALSO PRESENT:

13 Mr. Deshun Bradley  
14 Ms. Rhonda Clark

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 4

INDEX	PAGE
Appearances.....	2
DAVID A. OUBRE	
Examination by Mr. Bitgood.....	7
Examination by Mr. Dunwoody.....	65
Signature and Changes.....	67-68
Reporter's Certificate.....	69
EXHIBITS	
NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 1 (Not admitted)	
Exhibit 1 A.....	34
Document 35-2 in Case 4:22-cv-03279	
Exhibit 1 B.....	59
Defendants' No-Evidence Motion for Summary Judgment	
Exhibit 2 (Not admitted)	
Exhibit 2 A.....	20
Document entitled "Texas Secretary of State Filings California Foreign Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP," including attachments	

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 5

1	Exhibits 3 through 5 (Not admitted)	
2		
3	Exhibit 5 A.....	11
4	Plaintiff's Fourth Amended Petition for Declaratory Relief and Application for Temporary Injunction Pending Trial	
5		
6	Exhibit 6.....	35
7	Letter from Michael Joseph Bitgood to David A. Oubre dated July 22, 2022	
8		
9	Exhibits 7 through 9 (Not admitted)	
10		
11	Exhibit 10.....	22
12	Text messages	
13		
14	Exhibit 11.....	44
15	Email from EastProLaw to David Oubre dated September 1, 2022, subject "Jones v. Martinez- Sept 13th 2022"	
16		
17	Exhibits 12 through 29 (Not admitted)	
18		
19	Exhibit 30.....	50
20	Register of Actions in Case No. 22-CCV-070378	
21		
22	Exhibits 31 and 32 (Not admitted)	
23		
24	Exhibit 32 A.....	25
25	Motions Hearing, Document 60-2, in Case 4:22- cv-03279	
26		
27	Exhibits 33 through 37 (Not admitted)	
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		
81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		
101		
102		
103		
104		
105		
106		
107		
108		
109		
110		
111		
112		
113		
114		
115		
116		
117		
118		
119		
120		
121		
122		
123		
124		
125		
126		
127		
128		
129		
130		
131		
132		
133		
134		
135		
136		
137		
138		
139		
140		
141		
142		
143		
144		
145		
146		
147		
148		
149		
150		
151		
152		
153		
154		
155		
156		
157		
158		
159		
160		
161		
162		
163		
164		
165		
166		
167		
168		
169		
170		
171		
172		
173		
174		
175		
176		
177		
178		
179		
180		
181		
182		
183		
184		
185		
186		
187		
188		
189		
190		
191		
192		
193		
194		
195		
196		
197		
198		
199		
200		
201		
202		
203		
204		
205		
206		
207		
208		
209		
210		
211		
212		
213		
214		
215		
216		
217		
218		
219		
220		
221		
222		
223		
224		
225		
226		
227		
228		
229		
230		
231		
232		
233		
234		
235		
236		
237		
238		
239		
240		
241		
242		
243		
244		
245		
246		
247		
248		
249		
250		
251		
252		
253		
254		
255		
256		
257		
258		
259		
260		
261		
262		
263		
264		
265		
266		
267		
268		
269		
270		
271		
272		
273		
274		
275		
276		
277		
278		
279		
280		
281		
282		
283		
284		
285		
286		
287		
288		
289		
290		
291		
292		
293		
294		
295		
296		
297		
298		
299		
300		
301		
302		
303		
304		
305		
306		
307		
308		
309		
310		
311		
312		
313		
314		
315		
316		
317		
318		
319		
320		
321		
322		
323		
324		
325		
326		
327		
328		
329		
330		
331		
332		
333		
334		
335		
336		
337		
338		
339		
340		
341		
342		
343		
344		
345		
346		
347		
348		
349		
350		
351		
352		
353		
354		
355		
356		
357		
358		
359		
360		
361		
362		
363		
364		
365		
366		
367		
368		
369		
370		
371		
372		
373		
374		
375		
376		
377		
378		
379		
380		
381		
382		
383		
384		
385		
386		
387		
388		
389		
390		
391		
392		
393		
394		
395		
396		
397		
398		
399		
400		
401		
402		
403		
404		
405		
406		
407		
408		
409		
410		
411		
412		
413		
414		
415		
416		
417		
418		
419		
420		
421		
422		
423		
424		
425		
426		
427		
428		
429		
430		
431		
432		
433		
434		
435		
436		
437		
438		
439		
440		
441		
442		
443		
444		
445		
446		
447		
448		
449		
450		
451		
452		
453		
454		
455		
456		
457		
458		
459		
460		
461		
462		
463		
464		
465		
466		
467		
468		
469		
470		
471		
472		
473		
474		
475		
476		
477		
478		
479		
480		
481		
482		
483		
484		
485		
486		
487		
488		
489		
490		
491		
492		
493		
494		
495		
496		
497		
498		
499		
500		
501		
502		
503		
504		
505		
506		
507		
508		
509		
510		
511		
512		
513		
514		
515		
516		
517		
518		
519		
520		
521		
522		
523		
524		
525		
526		
527		
528		
529		
530		
531		
532		
533		
534		
535		
536		
537		
538		
539		
540		
541		
542		
543		
544		
545		
546		
547		
548		
549		
550		
551		

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 6

1	Exhibit 38.....	52
2	David Oubre and Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &	
3	Smith, LLP's Opposed Motion to Dismiss Appeal	
4	and Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Extend	
5	Time to File Appellant's Brief	
6	Exhibits 39 through 42	
7	(Not admitted)	
8	Exhibit 43.....	53
9	Fourteenth Court of Appeals Order in Case No.	
10	14-22-00694-CV	
11	Exhibit 44	
12	(Not admitted)	
13	Exhibit 45.....	60
14	Fort Bend Independent article entitled "Judge	
15	Morales invalidates evictions by Imperial	
16	Lofts in Sugar Land"	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 7

1 DAVID A. OUBRE,  
2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

3 EXAMINATION  
4 BY MR. BITGOOD:

5 Q. Please state your name for the record, sir.

6 A. David Oubre.

7 Q. Spell your last name.

8 A. O-U-B-R-E.

9 Q. Between you and I where do I begin, right,  
10 would be the question? Let's start with you work for  
11 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, correct?

12 A. Lewis Brisbois. Yes.

13 Q. And that is the plaintiff in this lawsuit,  
14 correct?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Who was also a defendant in Fort Bend County,  
17 correct?

18 MR. HELFAND: That calls for a legal  
19 conclusion.

20 MR. BITGOOD: And he's a lawyer, and he can  
21 make it.

22 MR. HELFAND: No. Don't give your legal  
23 conclusion. We're not here about legal conclusions.  
24 We're here about facts. He's not answering that  
25 question. You have another one?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 8

1                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Yeah. Go get the judge,  
2 please.

3                   **MR. HELFAND:** Go right ahead.

4                   **MR. BITGOOD:** If he can read and write, he  
5 can answer the question.

6                   **MR. HELFAND:** No. He's not here --

7                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Helfand, I don't want to  
8 discuss shit with you, you psychopathic liar.

9                   **MR. HELFAND:** Well, then don't --

10                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay?

11                  **MR. HELFAND:** Don't make a comment and  
12 then --

13                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I'm not. Okay?

14                  **MR. HELFAND:** -- tell me you don't want to  
15 hear. Only my wife is allowed to do that. If you don't  
16 talk to me, I won't talk to you. But don't talk to me  
17 and then start talking over me.

18                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Go ahead and get the judge,  
19 please.

20                  **MR. HELFAND:** Do you have other questions  
21 you want to ask while we're waiting for the judge?

22                  **MR. DUNWOODY:** We can probably go ahead and  
23 go off the record.

24                  **MR. HELFAND:** No, we're not going off the  
25 record.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 9

1                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Go ahead and go off the  
2 record till the judge comes out.

3                   **THE REPORTER:** I can't --

4                   **MR. HELFAND:** You can't go off --

5                   **THE REPORTER:** I can't go off the record.

6                   **MR. HELFAND:** -- the record unless  
7 everybody agrees to go off the record. We're not going  
8 off the record. Is that clear?

9                   (The judge enters the proceeding.)

10                  **THE COURT:** What's going on?

11                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I asked the  
12 question of the witness is he employed by Lewis Brisbois  
13 that is the plaintiff in this lawsuit. He responded,  
14 "Yes." I said, "And you also were a defendant in Fort  
15 Bend County" -- Mr. Helfand, let me finish, please.

16                  **MR. HELFAND:** Your Honor, I objected and --

17                  **THE COURT:** Let him finish. Let him  
18 finish.

19                  **MR. HELFAND:** Oh. I thought he was done.

20                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I asked him, "You worked for  
21 the same Lewis Brisbois that was once a defendant in  
22 Fort Bend County?" Mr. Helfand objected that it called  
23 for a legal conclusion. "Was he a defendant in Fort  
24 Bend," is my question.

25                  **THE COURT:** Okay. Let me hear counsel's

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 10

1 response.

2                   **MR. HELFAND:** The question was, "And that  
3 company was a defendant in Fort Bend County?" I  
4 objected that that calls for a legal conclusion. It's  
5 also completed unrelated to any issue in this case.

6                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, if I may. This  
7 is one of their exhibits to the motion for summary  
8 judgment. It's a pleading that was filed in Fort Bend  
9 County. It shows Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard is a  
10 defendant and --

11                  **THE COURT:** So why do you need any  
12 interrogation on this question?

13                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I beg your pardon, Your  
14 Honor?

15                  **THE COURT:** Why do you need to make an  
16 inquiry about this?

17                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I need to ask him if it's the  
18 same entity that was here. It is an exhibit to their  
19 motion for summary judgment as well.

20                  **MR. HELFAND:** Whether it's the same entity  
21 is a legal conclusion, not a factual question to a  
22 deponent.

23                  **THE COURT:** Yeah. Let's move on. We  
24 don't -- we don't need questions like that.

25                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, what is your

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 11

1 position over there at Lewis Brisbois?

2 A. A lawyer.

3 THE COURT: I'm not hearing you. If you  
4 can --

5 THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor.

6 A. Lawyer.

7 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Okay. Do you have any  
8 special position over there?

9 A. A managing partner.

10 Q. What are the duties of a managing partner?

11 A. I would say hiring, firing. If people are not  
12 doing what they're supposed to do, I have meetings with  
13 them. That's most of the tasks.

14 Q. Of a managing partner?

15 A. Right.

16 Q. Okay. As a managing partner were you assigned  
17 to defend the defendants in Cause No. 22-CCV-070378 in  
18 Fort Bend County, Texas?

19 A. Can I see that pleading? I don't recall the  
20 specific cause number.

21 Q. I'm handing you Defendants Exhibit 5 A.

22 A. I was hired -- I was hired in this case, but it  
23 was not as managing partner. I mean, I was managing  
24 partner when I was hired.

25 Q. But you were the attorney of record for the

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 12

1 defendants in this case?

2 A. I was the attorney of record for Martinez,  
3 Sullivan, Imperial Lofts. And I think there was another  
4 Imperial entity.

5 Q. Is that a "yes"?

6 A. Yes, for those defendants.

7 Q. How long have you been a lawyer, sir?

8 A. Since 1992.

9 Q. That would be about roughly, what, 30 years?

10 **Thirty --**

11 A. Thirty-two.

12 Q. -- two? Thirty-two years. Can you tell the  
13 Court in state court when you amend a petition what  
14 happens to the other petitions? Say this is the fourth  
15 amended petition. What happens to one, two, and three?

16 A. They're not to be -- I guess the amended  
17 petition is the only live pleading.

18 Q. That would be the --

19 THE COURT: I'm not hearing you at all.  
20 I'm sorry.

21 A. The amended petition would be the live  
22 pleading.

23 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Let's talk about the live  
24 pleading that's attached to your pleading, which is  
25 document one, and your motion for summary judgment. On

## MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE

Page 13

1 page 9 of the live pleading where you were the attorney  
2 of record, and I read "Plaintiffs seek a declaration  
3 from the Court, by way of jury charge, under Chapter 37  
4 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code that the  
5 Defendants have engaged in a scheme and a plan and  
6 conducted an enterprise concealing Nolan Red's  
7 identity," which is the "scheme to defraud the tax  
8 payers as well as the State of Texas. Clearly, there  
9 cannot be a Plaintiff named Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard &  
10 Smith LLP and a set of impostaers, Oubre and Ogbureke,  
11 and who signed their pleadings and documents without the  
12 consent of the LLP." You remember that statement?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Would you please look at it?

15 THE COURT: What are we trying to do,  
16 ascertain here? That somebody signed this document?

17 MR. BITGOOD: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Was there any -- is there any  
19 argument that the signature that appears is not valid?

20 MR. HELFAND: It's Mr. Bitgood's pleading.  
21 It's not something that was signed by Mr. Oubre.

22 THE COURT: I assume that. What's the  
23 issue?

24 MR. HELFAND: There's no dispute. Whatever  
25 he filed he filed, Judge.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 14

1                   **THE COURT:** Sorry?

2                   **MR. HELFAND:** There's no dispute that  
3 whatever he filed in the state court he filed in the  
4 state court.

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** And now they're using it as  
6 an exhibit, Your Honor.

7                   **THE COURT:** Well, they're welcome to use it  
8 as an exhibit. What's the problem -- what's the  
9 question that needs answering?

10                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I need to demonstrate, Your  
11 Honor, that at some point they knew that the Lewis  
12 Brisbois Texas was suing Lewis Brisbois California, and  
13 that came before this suit was filed alleging a Lanham  
14 Act violation.

15                  **MR. HELFAND:** Well, there's no evidence,  
16 and Mr. Oubre is not going to establish any evidence,  
17 that Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard --

18                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, that's not an  
19 objection. He's testifying. It's not an objection.

20                  **THE COURT:** I'm going to allow it.

21                  **MR. HELFAND:** Thank you. There's no  
22 evidence, and there's not going to be any evidence from  
23 Mr. Oubre, that what Mr. Easton calls Lewis Brisbois  
24 Texas was suing Lewis Brisbois California. But, again,  
25 Judge, there's no dispute that this pleading that

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 15

1 Mr. Easton is talking about was on file in the state  
2 district court before Lewis Brisbois sued these three  
3 defendants.

4                   **THE COURT:** I don't understand the issue.  
5 I mean, unless there's some question about authenticity  
6 it seems like we're wasting our time asking about a  
7 document that has been filed of record in a court. Is  
8 there some issue of -- we need authenticated, a  
9 signature, or --

10                  **MR. BITGOOD:** No, Your Honor.

11                  **THE COURT:** -- or anything?

12                  **MR. BITGOOD:** No, Your Honor. I wanted to  
13 question him on the allegations because it's one of the  
14 petitions that they attached to, in this case, alleging  
15 a Lanham Act violation.

16                  **THE COURT:** Well, where there's a Lanham  
17 Act violation is not something we can ask this -- this  
18 witness. But is there some factual question about  
19 authenticity?

20                  **MR. BITGOOD:** No, sir, not on the document  
21 itself.

22                  **THE COURT:** Well, let's move on, then.

23                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes, sir.

24                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, as an attorney you  
25 understand what the concept of standing is, correct?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 16

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. So if you don't own something, say you  
3 don't own Dell, you can't sue on Dell's behalf, correct?

4 A. If I don't own Dell?

5 **MR. HELFAND:** I'm sorry, Judge. That calls  
6 for a legal conclusion. And, again, it has nothing to  
7 do with the facts of this case. The Court ordered a  
8 limited deposition here. And this seems to be  
9 Mr. Easton arguing through the witness.

10 **THE COURT:** Yeah. Let's ask factual  
11 questions to which there is some open question about the  
12 response. Just going through and asking him to identify  
13 a document that's been filed in a court is not a good  
14 use of our time.

15 **MR. BITGOOD:** My question is standing. If  
16 you don't have standing, you can't bring suit, correct?

17 **THE COURT:** That's another legal question.  
18 This witness is not here to testify as a law expert.  
19 Ask him a factual question.

20 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Mr. Oubre, when did you  
21 become aware that myself and Ms. Norman were using the  
22 name Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP?

23 A. I mean, it would have to be sometime in the  
24 summer of '22 I guess. Can I see the date on that  
25 pleading?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 17

1           Q. You can have the pleading if you want to  
2 testify. Here.

3           A. Yeah. It would have been in June of '22.

4           Q. June of 2022? Is that correct?

5           A. Right.

6           Q. Here. And could you tell the Court who is Jana  
7 Lubert?

8           A. General counsel for the firm.

9           Q. Okay. And you're aware that she received this,  
10 in June of 2022 she was made aware of this lawsuit,  
11 correct?

12           **MR. HELFAND:** Objection, speculation.

13           Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Well, take a look at the  
14 pleading. It shows who was served with it  
15 electronically. You see Ms. Lubert's name on that  
16 pleading?

17           A. I see her on the automated certificate of  
18 service list.

19           Q. Thank you. And she's general counsel, correct?

20           A. Correct.

21           Q. Did she raise any concerns or any objections to  
22 the use of the name?

23           **MR. HELFAND:** That's privileged. That's a  
24 party communication. Don't answer that question.

25           **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I'd prefer if you

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 18

1 ruled, not Mr. Helfand.

2 **THE COURT:** I didn't hear the objection.

3 **MR. HELFAND:** The question was did the firm  
4 general counsel -- what did the firm general counsel  
5 tell Mr. Oubre about the misuse of the law firm's name.  
6 I told the witness not to answer. That's a party  
7 communication in anticipation of litigation.

8 **THE COURT:** Why is that not privileged?

9 **MR. BITGOOD:** As far as this goes, Your  
10 Honor?

11 **THE COURT:** Yes.

12 **MR. BITGOOD:** They were on notice that the  
13 name was being used at least since June. That's three  
14 months before they brought suit.

15 **THE COURT:** Well, ask him if they were on  
16 notice. Don't ask him what their lawyer told --

17 **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay.

18 **THE COURT:** -- the witness.

19 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Were you on notice that the  
20 name was being used at least June of 2022?

21 **A.** I was.

22 **Q.** Okay. Did you file any objection to that in  
23 state court?

24 **A.** I don't remember.

25 **THE COURT:** Pull the microphone a little

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 19

1       bit closer to you, please.

2                     THE WITNESS: Sorry.

3                     A. I don't remember.

4                     Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Were you present in state  
5                     court on August 30th of 2022 when we were going to have  
6                     a hearing in the Fort Bend County case?

7                     A. I had a hearing in the case that fall and  
8                     summer. I can't recall the exact date.

9                     Q. That would be August 30th of 2022. Do you  
10                  recall that hearing?

11                  A. I recall hearings in that case. I don't recall  
12                  a specific date, if it was on August 30th.

13                  Q. Do you recall receiving an email from me  
14                  telling you not to use Lewis Brisbois LLP in your  
15                  pleadings?

16                  A. No.

17                  MR. BITGOOD: Get the email.

18                  MS. NORMAN: Which one?

19                  MR. BITGOOD: The one where he says --

20                  A. I don't remember that.

21                  MS. NORMAN: It was a text message.

22                  MR. BITGOOD: It was a text message.

23                  Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) I apologize. It was a text  
24                  message. Do you recall receiving a text message?

25                  A. I don't remember that.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 20

1                   **MR. BITGOOD:** I think it's page 36.

2                   **MS. NORMAN:** Huh?

3                   **MR. BITGOOD:** I think it's page 36.

4                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Is it safe to say that during  
5 the case we texted each other a lot?

6                   A. Occasionally.

7                   **Q. Mr. Oubre, do you recall receiving from the**  
8 **Secretary of State of the state of Texas a series of**  
9 **letters telling you that you are going to -- or at least**  
10 **to renew the name LBBS, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith**  
11 **in the state of Texas?**

12                  **MR. HELFAND:** Objection. Assumes facts not  
13 in evidence.

14                  A. No.

15                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I asked him if he recalled.

16                  **MR. HELFAND:** You don't need to respond to  
17 me. My objection is it assumes facts not in evidence.  
18 And if he knows the answer he can answer.

19                  A. No.

20                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** You don't recall receiving  
21 any such letter?

22                  **MR. HELFAND:** Same objection.

23                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Okay. I'm handing you what's  
24 been marked as Defendants Exhibit 2 A, a series of  
25 communications from the Secretary of State to Lewis

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 21

1 Brisbois. Some of them are addressed to you as managing  
2 partner.

3 A. I don't think any of these are addressed to me  
4 as managing partner. In fact, they're all -- the  
5 letters from the Secretary of State are all addressed to  
6 the Los Angeles office.

7 Q. Were you the registered agent for service for  
8 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith in Texas?

9 A. When?

10 Q. March of 2022.

11 A. I'm not sure.

12 Q. Take a look at the document, sir.

13 A. I see Chris White.

14 MR. HELFAND: Well, answer his question.

15 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

16 A. No. March 28th, 2022. I would say no because  
17 it's filed March 28th, 2022. The registered agent is an  
18 individual resident in the state whose name is Chris  
19 White.

20 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Were you the registered agent  
21 at any time in 2022, sir?

22 A. I don't know. I might have been before that.

23 Q. A moment ago I asked about a text message where  
24 I sent you the following: "quit using my LLP on your  
25 pleadings. You know better than that. I already know

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 22

1 what your excuse will be-But I have an 'app' for that."

2 You recall that?

3 A. No.

4 **MR. HELFAND:** Can you -- can we see the  
5 document, please? Thanks. Thank you.

6 **MR. BITGOOD:** You yank it from him.

7 **MR. HELFAND:** Just -- you know what? What  
8 you're doing isn't helping you.

9 **MR. BITGOOD:** Objection, nonresponsive, and  
10 side-bar. Mr. Helfand, please.

11 **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. Let's make this an  
12 exhibit to the deposition. What's the next exhibit  
13 number. We have an exhibit?

14 **THE REPORTER:** I'm not sure what the number  
15 is, sir, because they're marking them, and I'm not  
16 marking the exhibits.

17 **MS. NORMAN:** It's -- I'll tell you the  
18 number. It's Exhibit 10. And there's a page number at  
19 the top.

20 **MR. HELFAND:** Well, Exhibit 10 to what?

21 **THE WITNESS:** Is there a question on the  
22 table?

23 **MR. HELFAND:** No. Hang on.

24 **MR. BITGOOD:** Yeah.

25 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Do you recall --

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 23

1                   **MS. NORMAN:** It's marked as Exhibit 10.

2                   **MR. HELFAND:** To what?

3                   **MS. NORMAN:** What do you mean "to what"?

4                   **MR. HELFAND:** To what pleading?

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** There is no pleading. It's a

6 text message.

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** Oh. It's deposition Exhibit

8 10?

9                   **MS. NORMAN:** Deposition --

10                  **MR. HELFAND:** That's what I meant "to

11 what?" Okay. This is in whose deposition, Exhibit 10?

12                  **MS. NORMAN:** Mr. Oubre.

13                  **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. So this is going to be

14 Exhibit 10?

15                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes.

16                  **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. It hasn't been marked

17 yet, but now it is. Okay. This will be Exhibit 10. So

18 if you have a sticker you'll put it on later, right?

19                  **THE REPORTER:** I can put it on later or

20 now.

21                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Please don't write on the

22 exhibit.

23                  **THE REPORTER:** Would you like me to go

24 ahead and put a sticker on it?

25                  **THE COURT:** This is on the record. The

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 24

1 court reporter is having trouble, I think.

2 **MR. HELFAND:** I just wrote "10" where you  
3 can put a sticker over it --

4 **THE REPORTER:** Thank you, sir.

5 **MR. HELFAND:** -- so you'll know it's 10.

6 Thank you.

7 **THE REPORTER:** Thank you. Thank you, Your  
8 Honor.

9 **THE COURT:** We have a pending question?

10 **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes, sir.

11 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Do you recall getting the  
12 text message now by looking at the exhibit?

13 A. I -- I mean, really I don't. I do remember one  
14 photo on this exhibit, but I do not -- I mean, I'm not  
15 saying it didn't happen, but I just don't remember  
16 specifically.

17 **Q. So you don't recall your response "We**  
18 **registered first" when I asked you to stop using the**  
19 **Lewis Brisbois in state court?**

20 **MR. HELFAND:** Objection. Assumes facts not  
21 in evidence.

22 A. No, I don't remember that.

23 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Okay. Do you recall  
24 attending a hearing on August 30th of 2022 in County  
25 Court at Law No. 3?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 25

1           A. I recall attending a hearing. I don't -- I'm  
2 not sure on the date.

3           Q. Okay. Do you recall the state court judge  
4 asking you, "Do you know what a Rule 12 hearing is"?

5           A. No, I don't recall that.

6           Q. Okay. Do you recall you telling the state  
7 court judge, "Yes, Your Honor, I do know what a Rule 12  
8 hearing is"?

9           A. I don't recall that.

10          Q. You recall that we -- the hearing had to be  
11 reset to September the 13th? You recall that?

12          A. I do remember that a hearing was reset.

13          Q. Okay. Do you recall on September the 13th --  
14 this will help you -- the court conducted an evidentiary  
15 hearing on that Rule 12 motion? Do you recall that?

16          A. I know we had a hearing. I can't recall the  
17 date.

18          Q. I'm handing you Defendants Exhibit --

19                    **MS. NORMAN:** Here. Let me give it to him.

20          Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) -- 32 A.

21                    **MS. NORMAN:** This is Exhibit 32 A, page 9  
22 of 191.

23                    **MR. HELFAND:** It's Exhibit 32 to what?

24                    **MS. NORMAN:** 32 A --

25                    **MR. BITGOOD:** Deposition of David Oubre.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 26

1                   **MR. HELFAND:** Oh. It's Exhibit 32 --  
2 sorry. I'm sorry. Let me just talk to Ms. Norman here.  
3 It's Exhibit 32 to what?

4                   **MS. NORMAN:** That's the motions. It's --

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** That's not what I wanted him  
6 to do.

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** Ms. Norman, it's Exhibit 32  
8 to what?

9                   **MS. NORMAN:** It's Exhibit 32 A to his  
10 deposition.

11                  **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. It's also, just so  
12 we're clear on the record, identified as document 60-2  
13 filed in this lawsuit.

14                  **MR. BITGOOD:** And all those are exhibits  
15 that were admitted into evidence by this court on  
16 December 2nd. Can I ask him my question now,  
17 Mr. Helfand?

18                  **MR. HELFAND:** You have a question go ahead.

19                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Would you go ahead and take a  
20 look at that record and see if you remember it now,  
21 September 13th, 2022?

22                  A. This transcript says it was September thirt --  
23 September 13th, 2022.

24                  **Q. Would you read the appearance page for the  
25 Judge, please?**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 27

1           A. "Michael" -- "Mr. Michael Joseph Bitgood aka  
2 Michael Easton, pro se," "Mr. Brad Beers," "Ms. Su" --  
3 "Cecilia 'Sue' Norman," "David A. Oubre."

4           **Q. So you were present at this hearing, correct?**  
5 You made an appearance?

6           A. Yes.

7           **Q. Okay. And at this hearing after hearing the**  
8 **evidence the court removed you from the case, correct?**

9           A. I think so.

10          **Q. You think so? It's not clear to you that you**  
11 **were removed from the case?**

12          A. I'm agreeing with you.

13          **Q. Okay. And that was on a Rule 12, correct?**

14          A. Yes.

15          **Q. Do you recall telling the court when the judge**  
16 **asked you, "When did you register the name Lewis**  
17 **Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith"?**

18          A. I don't recall that at all.

19          **Q. Please look in the transcript. I believe your**  
20 **response to the judge was "March 28th."**

21           **MR. HELFAND:** Hang on. The transcript is  
22 about 38 pages long. Do you know where it is in the  
23 transcript?

24           **MR. BITGOOD:** I'll find it for you.

25           **MS. NORMAN:** I believe it's page 38.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 28

1                   **MR. HELFAND:** Thank you. Oh. It's more  
2 than 30 pages. But look at page 38, please.

3                   **THE WITNESS:** I don't see that on page 38.

4                   **MS. NORMAN:** Where is the rest of your  
5 transcript? I'm sorry. It's page 30 of the transcript.  
6 I was looking at the footer.

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** Okay.

8                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Look at line 13 on page 30.  
9 I'm sorry.

10                  **MS. NORMAN:** Line 6.

11                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Line 6.

12                  A. Line 6 on page 30?

13                  **Q. Uh-huh.**

14                  A. Do you want me to just read it?

15                  **Q. No. It says "an application attached as**  
16 **Defendant Exhibit F dated March 28th, 2022."**

17                  **MR. HELFAND:** What's your question?

18                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Do you see that, sir?

19                  A. Yes.

20                  **Q. Okay. And the court goes "All right. When was**  
21 **the expiration date?" What do you answer on page -- on**  
22 **line 13?**

23                  A. "March 9th, 2022."

24                  **Q. So the registration expired on March the 9th,**  
25 **and you admitted in court that you didn't renew it until**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 29

1       **March 28th, correct?**

2                   **MR. HELFAND:** Objection, multifarious.

3 Assumes facts not in evidence.

4                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) What did you tell the court?**

5                   **That you didn't renew it till March 28th, correct?**

6                   **A. I think that's correct.**

7                   **Q. Look at page 31. "THE COURT: Okay. And you**  
8                   **renewed it on March 28th?"**

9                   **"MR. OUBRE: Yes."**

10                  **"THE COURT: Okay."**

11                  **So it's established. The registration for**  
12                  **Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard in Texas had expired on March**  
13                  **9th of 2022. That's what you told the court, correct?**

14                  **A. Right.**

15                  **Q. You didn't renew it till March 28th of 2022,**  
16                  **correct?**

17                  **A. Right.**

18                  **Q. And yet you made an appearance in that case on**  
19                  **March the 11th of 2022, correct?**

20                  **A. I don't remember if that's the date, but I did**  
21                  make an appearance in that case.

22                  **Q. Was it before the registration was renewed?**  
23                  **Correct?**

24                  **A. I don't remember.**

25                  **Q. Okay. And as a result of what you don't**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 30

1 remember the court removed you from the case and struck  
2 the pleadings of the defendants, correct?

3                   **MR. HELFAND:** Objection, assumes facts not  
4 in evidence, and object to the side-bar before the  
5 question. The question of whether he was removed from  
6 the case has been asked and answered.

7                   **THE COURT:** I'm not hearing you,  
8 Mr. Helfand.

9                   **MR. HELFAND:** I object to -- Mr. Easton has  
10 a habit of making side-bar remarks and then asking a  
11 question. I object to the side-bar remarks. The  
12 question of whether the associate judge ordered that  
13 Mr. Oubre be removed from the case has already been  
14 asked and answered. It's not in dispute. It's in  
15 writing, Judge.

16                  **THE COURT:** Well, why don't we -- let's do  
17 it from the beginning --

18                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I believe  
19 Mr. Helfand told this court that Mr. Oubre withdrew  
20 because he had a conflict of interest. I'm going to ask  
21 him that question next.

22                  **THE COURT:** Well, ask that question.

23                  **MR. HELFAND:** Sure.

24                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Did you withdraw because you  
25 had a conflict of interest, or did the court remove you

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 31

1       **on written order?**

2           A. There was an order to that effect. I don't  
3 recall the date that I was removed from the case.

4           **THE COURT:** I'm not hearing you either.  
5 I'm sorry.

6           A. There was an order from the court removing me  
7 from the case. And then Thompson -- Will Moye at  
8 Thompson, Coe took over.

9           **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Last week we took the  
10 deposition of Mr. Fisher. He told the court that you  
11 put on evidence at this hearing on September the 13th.  
12 Did you put on any evidence at that hearing?

13           **MR. HELFAND:** Objection, assumes facts not  
14 in evidence. You want to show him the transcript that  
15 you're referring to?

16           **MR. BITGOOD:** I don't. I'm asking him.

17           **THE COURT:** The witness can remember he can  
18 say. If he can't remember, he can say that.

19           A. I remember I had affidavits attached to my  
20 response. I think that's the only evidence I had at the  
21 hearing.

22           **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Did you put on any  
23 testamentary evidence; in other words, live witnesses?

24           A. I didn't call any live witnesses.

25           **Q. Did you offer any exhibits?**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 32

1           A. I had a couple of affidavits from my clients  
2 saying that I had authority to represent them. That's  
3 the only evidence I can remember.

4           **Q. And affidavits that have not been offered as**  
5 **evidence, you call that evidence?**

6           **MR. HELFAND:** I'm sorry. Judge, that's  
7 just argumentative.

8           **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, he said it was  
9 evidence.

10           **THE COURT:** I'm sorry. What did you say?

11           **MR. BITGOOD:** The witness said it was  
12 evidence. I want to know if that's his understanding of  
13 what evidence is.

14           **MR. HELFAND:** Judge, this has nothing to do  
15 with this Lanham Act lawsuit. The question now is what  
16 evidence did Mr. Oubre present in the county court at  
17 law as to his authority to represent the defendants in  
18 that case. And he said, "I had affidavits." And  
19 Mr. Bitgood just said to him, "You call that evidence?"

20           **THE COURT:** Affidavits are evidence. Let's  
21 move on.

22           **MR. HELFAND:** Thank you.

23           **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Were your affidavits admitted**  
24 **into evidence?**

25           A. They were in the court's files, so I

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 33

1 consider -- I considered them in evidence.

2       **Q.** But the court found that Lewis Brisbois had no  
3 authority to do business as of March 11th in a Texas  
4 court, correct?

5       **A.** I don't recall the specific language.

6           **THE COURT:** That's a legal question. I  
7 mean, whatever the court did will speak for itself. We  
8 don't need to ask a lawyer what the court meant.

9       **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** I want to come back to the  
10 question of standing.

11           **THE COURT:** Standing is not a legal  
12 question. Final briefs it goes into the issue of  
13 standing, and I get the other side's response. But a  
14 fact -- a fact witness cannot testify about standing.  
15 He's not an expert on Texas law.

16       **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Well, Mr. Oubre, if you file  
17 a lawsuit, as was done in this case, and you tell the  
18 court that trademark 3,722,172 belongs to you on  
19 September the 23rd, 2022, and it does not, you believe  
20 that would affect standing?

21           **MR. HELFAND:** That --

22           **THE COURT:** That's another legal question.

23           **MR. HELFAND:** Thank you, Judge.

24           **THE COURT:** The question is whether he  
25 found something that was not true. You can ask him that

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 34

1 but --

2 MR. BITGOOD: Okay.

3 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Have you examined the  
4 pleadings in this case?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to know that you  
7 did not -- Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith did not own  
8 trademark 3,722,172 on September 23rd?

9 MR. HELFAND: I'm sorry, Judge. I need to  
10 object. First, that's a false statement. Second of  
11 all, that calls for a legal conclusion as to who owned  
12 the trademark, the question that the Court will  
13 determine. This witness has already said he has no  
14 knowledge of the lawsuit.

15 THE COURT: He's not being offered as a  
16 Lanham Act expert. He's being -- he was called upon to  
17 testify by -- by the defendants, and he's a fact  
18 witness. Let's stick to fact questions.

19 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) I'm handing you what's been  
20 marked as Defendants Exhibit 1 A.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. You see what it says at the top, cancellation  
23 of a trademark?

24 A. It says "The attached U.S. trademark  
25 registration is certified to be a true copy of the

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 35

1 registration issued by the United States Patent and  
2 Trademark office and subsequently canceled."

3 **Q. What date was that cancellation, sir?**

4 A. I don't know.

5 **Q. Look on the document, please.**

6 A. The document says October 4th, 2022.

7 **MS. NORMAN:** No. Huh-uh.

8 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) And just by common sense,**  
9 **October 4th, 2022, predates September 23rd, 2023,**  
10 **correct?**

11 **THE COURT:** Okay. It does. I'll take  
12 judicial notice of that.

13 **MR. BITGOOD:** Thank you, sir. Let me have  
14 No. 6, please. No. 6.

15 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Mr. Oubre, I'm handing you**  
16 **now what's been marked as Defendants Exhibit 6.**

17 A. Okay.

18 **Q. Could you tell the Court what that is?**

19 A. It is a letter from Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &  
20 Smith, LLP, Mediation & Arbitrations, Michael Joseph  
21 Bitgood and Susan Norman, to me.

22 **Q. It's addressed to you, correct?**

23 A. Yes.

24 **Q. What date is it addressed?**

25 A. July 22nd, 2022.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 36

1           **Q.** When you received that letter on July 22nd,  
2 2022, did you lodge any objections to the use of that  
3 letterhead?

4           **A.** I don't even recall receiving this letter.

5           **Q.** You don't recall receiving the letter?

6           **A.** Not at all. I'm not saying I didn't. I just  
7 don't -- I mean, I got correspondence from you every  
8 other day. So I don't recall this one specifically.

9           **Q.** At any time did you object to the use of the  
10 name Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith on our letterhead  
11 at any time in writing, in any place?

12          **A.** Me personally?

13          **Q.** Yes.

14          **A.** I don't recall if I did or didn't.

15          **Q.** As managing partner of the law firm did you  
16 lodge an objection in the state court?

17           **MR. HELFAND:** I'm sorry. It  
18 mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He's not  
19 the managing partner of the law firm.

20          **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** As an attorney for the  
21 defendants did you lodge an objection to the use of  
22 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith letterhead by us?

23          **A.** I don't recall if I did or didn't.

24          **Q.** Did you ever present that letter to  
25 Ms. Martinez?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 37

1                   **MR. HELFAND:** I'm sorry. That would invade  
2 attorney-client communications. Don't answer that  
3 question.

4                   **MR. BITGOOD:** What was the date on the  
5 Martinez letter? He's got it over there. Make sure he  
6 doesn't keep it.

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** Is this the one you need?

8                   **MS. NORMAN:** Yeah.

9                   **MR. BITGOOD:** What's the date on that?

10                  **MS. NORMAN:** July 22nd.

11                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Do you recall asking me  
12 regarding the letter that now you claim is  
13 attorney-client privilege, asking me to put that offer  
14 back on the table for your client?

15                  **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. First of all --

16                  **THE COURT:** We're not going to get into  
17 settlement discussions, are we?

18                  **MR. BITGOOD:** No, Your Honor. Except that  
19 it was on Lewis Brisbois letterhead. I want to  
20 establish that at all times they never objected to the  
21 use of the letterhead. If they would have objected to  
22 the use of the letterhead, we would have stopped right  
23 then and there. This would not be necessary.

24                  **MR. HELFAND:** We did object, Judge. We  
25 wrote them a letter and said "stop doing it."

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 38

1                   **MR. BITGOOD:** When?

2                   **MR. HELFAND:** He responded to our general  
3 counsel with the "Come and Take It" flag and said, "It's  
4 mine. You can't have it." We did object. But that's  
5 not going to be established by Mr. Easton's letter to  
6 Mr. Oubre. Mr. Oubre has already testified he doesn't  
7 remember whether he did or when he objected to the use  
8 of the name Lewis Brisbois.

9                   **MS. NORMAN:** Your Honor, if I may respond.  
10 The timing of what Mr. Helfand just said happened three  
11 days after the September 13th trial motion, Rule 12 --

12                  **THE COURT:** This would be a contested  
13 point. I think any law firm would object to somebody  
14 else --

15                  **MR. BITGOOD:** It is.

16                  **MS. NORMAN:** It is a contested point.  
17 Prior to September 13th, nobody in -- nobody in  
18 California at Lewis Brisbois who had been copied on  
19 every document after June 24th, nobody sent a letter or  
20 a pleading objecting to the use of that name by the  
21 Texas domestic LLP. Only after the court's ruling  
22 ejecting Lewis Brisbois from the case, removing  
23 Mr. Oubre from representing the parties, and striking  
24 the pleadings, that's the first time that anything  
25 official came from Lewis Brisbois in this -- so his --

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 39

1 his failure to tell the court that that didn't happen  
2 till September 16th, and then they file suit on  
3 September 23rd, is --

4                   **MR. HELFAND:** Is evidence of nothing,  
5 Judge. The sequence of --

6                   **MS. NORMAN:** It's evidence that --

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** The sequence of events is  
8 undisputed. If they want to file an affidavit that says  
9 they were not told by Lewis Brisbois to stop using that  
10 name before X date, we'll be happy to look at that. But  
11 Mr. Oubre has already said he doesn't recall whether he  
12 objected, and if so when. What Mr. Easton is now  
13 questioning him about is -- he said you -- you think my  
14 letter is attorney-client privilege, but it's a  
15 discussion. It's not a question.

16                   To Ms. Norman's concern, the time line is  
17 not disputed. If they want to put on evidence that they  
18 were not aware that the firm objected, if there's some  
19 argument that two months constitutes waiver of a mark,  
20 we can address that as a matter of law.

21                   **THE COURT:** You really think that any law  
22 firm would acquiesce the use of its name by a third  
23 party? I mean, is that really seriously in dispute?

24                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I agree with you  
25 on that. And that's the question I'm trying to raise.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 40

1 I think I gave you an example a couple months into the  
2 hearing. If you were sitting in court, and I called  
3 for -- the court called for announcements, and I said,  
4 "Well, I'm Keith Ellison, and I represent Keith P.  
5 Ellison," I'm sure you would get up out of your chair  
6 and say, "Wait a minute, something is not right here,"  
7 or you would be in objection. When you go into court  
8 and the judge asks Ms. Norman, "Who do you represent,"  
9 and she says, "Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP,  
10 Texas domestic," we finish making the appearances, and  
11 he makes no objection, that, Your Honor, is an  
12 acquiescence. He knows what's going on. They make --  
13 in their pleadings -- and in one of your memorandums you  
14 said they had no idea this was going on. The idea is we  
15 have to prove they knew well in advance what was going  
16 on, and this didn't have to happen.

17 But now fast-forward if he wants to talk  
18 about date lines. That letter that was sent by general  
19 counsel is dated September 16th. We had the hearing  
20 with you October the 6th. The night of October the 6th  
21 we ceased operations. Period. The end. That should  
22 have been the end of it. We didn't get that letter  
23 until September the 16th. Now, on the 15th Mr. Oubre  
24 called me on the telephone. He asked me for a Rule 11  
25 agreement that I would not use the name again. I said,

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 41

1       "Why do you need a Rule 11 agreement? Come to  
2 mediation. We'll resolve the whole thing." The next  
3 day I get the letter from Jana Lubert through Mr. Oubre  
4 telling me, "Don't use our name," and I -- that was in  
5 the letter. The letter says, "It's obvious you're  
6 trying to impersonate us." At no time were we trying to  
7 impersonate the real Lewis Brisbois in California.

8                   **MR. HELFAND:** Now, first, Judge, I would be  
9 remiss if I didn't point out that Mr. Easton just belied  
10 what Ms. Norman said. She said, "We didn't know it was  
11 a problem until after the" --

12                  **MR. BITGOOD:** He's --

13                  **MR. HELFAND:** -- "hearing on" -- "on  
14 September 13th."

15                  **MR. BITGOOD:** He can't help himself.

16                  **MR. HELFAND:** But Mr. Easton just said he  
17 had a conversation which he was asked to stop using the  
18 name on September 11th. But as for a deposition --

19                  **MR. BITGOOD:** I did not say -- objection --  
20 objection. Your Honor, I want to make sure the record  
21 is clear. I did not --

22                  **THE COURT:** I will allow you to respond  
23 after he's finished.

24                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Thank you, Your Honor.

25                  **MR. HELFAND:** He said what he said, and I'm

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 42

1 sure the court reporter took it down. Here's my point,  
2 Judge. The time line is the time line. You can't make  
3 an argument of waiver of protection of the mark through  
4 the fact witness. He's already answered the question.  
5 "I don't know when or how I objected, if at all."  
6 That's on the record. So what Mr. Easton is really  
7 trying to do is just make an argument through the  
8 witness. Maybe it would just be easier if he asked the  
9 question again. The Court with this context can hear  
10 it.

11                   **THE COURT:** Mr. Bitgood, you want to  
12 respond?

13                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes, sir. Your Honor, what  
14 I'm trying to establish is that we came to you on  
15 October the 6th. We ceased operations, shut down  
16 everything, and told you, "We're not going to fight  
17 these people."

18                   **THE COURT:** Okay.

19                   **MR. BITGOOD:** You're correct. I'm trying  
20 to establish that since June before that they knew of  
21 the use of the name, they knew they were being sued for  
22 the use of the name, and they said nothing. Had anybody  
23 voiced an objection, a serious objection to it, and we  
24 had been instructed by any court not to do it, we would  
25 have stopped immediately. That's what I'm trying to

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 43

1 establish.

2                   **THE COURT:** Did you really seriously  
3 entertain the thought that you could use another law  
4 firm's name for your own purposes?

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** No, sir. It was strategic.  
6 Because the fact that they had let it expire, didn't  
7 have the USPTO, was trial strategy to get them ejected  
8 from the case. It worked. It was a temporary measure.  
9 Now, in hindsight it may not have been the brightest  
10 idea that ever came up, but at the time it worked.

11                  **THE COURT:** Okay. So let's -- let's assume  
12 you're correct about that. Let's move on.

13                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Thank you, sir.

14                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Did you ever ask me to put an  
15 offer on the table back for Karina Martinez? Yes or no?

16                  A. I don't remember that.

17                  **Q. Okay.**

18                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Go ahead and give it to him.

19                  **MR. HELFAND:** What are we looking at? What  
20 is this? What is this?

21                  **MR. BITGOOD:** It's obviously an exhibit,  
22 but I can't --

23                  **THE COURT:** I'm not hearing. Somebody is  
24 saying something? I'm not hearing it.

25                  **MR. HELFAND:** I'm asking what it is. It's

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 44

1 a -- what's the exhibit to the deposition, Ms. Norman?  
2 Are we going to make it an exhibit?

3           **MS. NORMAN:** It is an exhibit. It's a  
4 series -- it's Exhibit 11.

5           **MR. BITGOOD:** Exhibit 11.

6           **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. Exhibit 11 to --

7           **MS. NORMAN:** It's a series of emails --

8           **MR. HELFAND:** -- the deposition?

9           **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes.

10          **MS. NORMAN:** To the deposition.

11          **MR. HELFAND:** To this deposition?

12          **MS. NORMAN:** To this deposition.

13          **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. So it's already marked  
14 as Exhibit 11 to Mr. Oubre's deposition. Thanks. So  
15 most of the time when somebody hands a document they  
16 say, "Let me show you what I've marked" or "I'm having  
17 marked as" such and such so we can know what we're  
18 talking about.

19           **MR. BITGOOD:** Objection to the side-bar by  
20 Mr. Helfand. I'm not paying for you.

21           **MR. HELFAND:** That's how lawyers do it.

22           **MR. BITGOOD:** Yeah, I know all about that.

23          A. I'm sorry. What's the question?

24          Q. (BY **MR. BITGOOD**) Did you --

25          **THE COURT:** What's the question, pending

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 45

1 question?

2 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Did you offer -- did you ask  
3 me to put the offer for Ms. Martinez back on the table  
4 after you lost the hearing on September 13th?

5 MR. HELFAND: Asked and answered.

6 A. I don't remember that. I'm not saying it  
7 didn't happen, but I don't remember that.

8 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Is there anything you  
9 remember about the case?

10 A. Is that a serious question?

11 Q. Yes, sir.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Because every question I ask you you claim you  
14 don't remember things.

15 A. I'm under oath. If I remember something, I'll  
16 tell you about it.

17 Q. So you don't remember this either?

18 A. I don't remember asking you to put it back on  
19 the table. I'm not saying it didn't happen, but I don't  
20 remember that --

21 Q. Exhibit 11 --

22 A. -- conversation.

23 Q. Exhibit 11 that you're looking at seems to  
24 indicate I declined to put it back on the table,  
25 correct?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 46

1           A. It says right here "Regarding your request that  
2 I put 'Baby' Karina Martinez offer to settle back on the  
3 table, I DECLINE and I do so based on the following." I  
4 do remember this email because you said I was Gomer  
5 Pyle, and you referenced "No Country for Old Men."

6           **Q. Correct. So you recall the email?**

7           A. I remember the -- I remember the email.

8           **Q. Okay. And that offer came to you on a**  
9 **letterhead that said Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith,**  
10 **LLP Texas, correct?**

11          A. I don't remember what letterhead it came on.

12           **THE COURT:** We're going to take a half hour  
13 break for lunch.

14           (Lunch recess from 1:26 p.m. to 2:08 p.m.)

15           **MR. BITGOOD:** Good afternoon. Your Honor,  
16 I have a request because I'm reading your order here.  
17 This deposition, all of them are requested to be signed  
18 and read, which is okay. But that would give me less  
19 than seven days to respond. Could we push back our  
20 response date say like two, three weeks? Would that be  
21 okay with you?

22           **THE COURT:** Any objection anyone has?

23           **MR. HELFAND:** No objection. No objection  
24 to two additional weeks. But the court reporter said  
25 the turnaround on the depositions is 10 days. So that

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 47

1 should be more than adequate time.

2                   **THE REPORTER:** Ten business days. Yes,  
3 sir.

4                   **THE COURT:** I can't control what the court  
5 reporter's rules are. For me two weeks is okay for a  
6 response. You talking about the response to motion for  
7 summary judgment?

8                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Yes, sir. That's their  
9 response. And we'll keep --

10                  **THE COURT:** Yeah. That's fine for me.

11                  **MR. BITGOOD:** We'll keep our date of April  
12 29th to file ours. Thank you, Your Honor.

13                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, before we took the  
14 lunch break, I asked you about a letter that was sent on  
15 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard Texas domestic letterhead to you  
16 regarding an offer to a Karina Martinez. Do you recall  
17 that -- what we talked about?

18                  A. Yes.

19                  **Q. Okay. And Mr. Helfand instructed you not to**  
20 **answer because it violated the attorney-client**  
21 **privilege, correct?**

22                  **MR. HELFAND:** No. I instructed him not to  
23 answer the specific question, "Did you confer with your  
24 client about that?"

25                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 48

1           **Q.** (BY MR. BITGOOD) Did you --

2           **MR. HELFAND:** That's all I asked --

3           **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay. Okay. Mr. Helfand,  
4 thank you.

5           **Q.** (BY MR. BITGOOD) Did you ever present that  
6 letter to Ms. Martinez?

7           **MR. HELFAND:** Don't answer that question.  
8 It's attorney-client privilege.

9           **Q.** (BY MR. BITGOOD) Which brings us to this  
10 question. Who was your client? Was it Ms. Martinez?

11          A. I had several clients in that case.

12          **Q.** Was Karina Martinez one of your clients?

13          A. Yes.

14          **Q.** Okay. And the court found she wasn't your  
15 client when she removed you, correct?

16          **MR. HELFAND:** No. I'm sorry. That mis --  
17 that calls for a legal conclusion. That also  
18 misinterprets the order. To find that he wasn't -- she  
19 wasn't his client the court found that Mr. Oubre could  
20 not proceed.

21          **MR. BITGOOD:** Excuse me. Let me have the  
22 order from the state court.

23          **MS. NORMAN:** Okay.

24          **THE COURT:** What's the -- what's the issue  
25 here? Are we really -- who his client is, can't you

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 49

1 check the pleadings? Doesn't the pleading reflect who  
2 his client is?

3                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, state court has a  
4 different procedure. When you remove somebody under  
5 Rule 12, that person was never your client. You never  
6 had -- let me finish, Mr. Helfand.

7                   **THE COURT:** Okay. Go ahead and finish. Go  
8 ahead and finish.

9                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, Rule 12 allows  
10 you to remove an attorney if he does not have the  
11 authority to appear for a particular client.

12                   **THE COURT:** Okay.

13                   **MR. BITGOOD:** The motion was brought under  
14 Rule 12. The court found that he was not authorized to  
15 appear for Ms. Martinez, Imperial Lofts, or LLC, and  
16 struck all of their pleadings. So if he's not her  
17 lawyer he can't claim the attorney-client privilege.

18                   **MR. HELFAND:** There's a couple of things  
19 wrong with that. First of all, Mr. Easton is wrong  
20 about the law. Rule 12 is a -- is a question of  
21 authority at the time the motion is presented, not  
22 whether their lawyer ever had authority. Second of all,  
23 the associate judge in Fort Bend County cannot tell a  
24 lawyer that someone is not their client. He can tell  
25 the lawyer that they cannot continue to represent that

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 50

1 person, which is what the associate judge's order says.  
2 But communications between Mr. Oubre and the lady who he  
3 was hired to represent are attorney-client privilege.

4           **THE COURT:** Yeah, I think so. Let's --  
5 let's move on to another question.

6           **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay.

7           **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, did you file any  
8 special exceptions in the state court case when you  
9 represented any of these clients?

10          A. I don't remember.

11          **MR. BITGOOD:** May I have the state court  
12 docket sheet?

13          **MS. NORMAN:** I handed you one, but --

14          **MR. BITGOOD:** I had it all highlighted.

15          **MS. NORMAN:** Yeah.

16          **THE COURT:** I'm not hearing anything.

17          **MR. BITGOOD:** I'm sorry, Your Honor. I was  
18 talking to Ms. Norman. My apology.

19          **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, I'm handing you  
20 what's been marked as --

21          **MS. NORMAN:** Exhibit 30.

22          **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** -- Exhibit 30 to David Oubre's  
23 deposition. Beginning on 6-24 all the way to the end do  
24 you see any entries on there where you filed special  
25 exceptions for anybody? Mr. Oubre, it's highlighted

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 51

1       **from 6-24 all the way down. It's highlighted.**

2           A. I'm going to read the whole thing.

3           **MR. HELFAND:** The question was does he see  
4 any entries on there. So he's going to read all the  
5 entries because that's what you asked him to do.

6           **MR. BITGOOD:** Objection to the side-bar  
7 remark.

8           **THE COURT:** I'm sorry. I'm not aware -- is  
9 the document being reviewed? Is there a pending  
10 question?

11           **MR. BITGOOD:** He's looking at the docket  
12 sheet, Your Honor, very slowly.

13           **MR. HELFAND:** Here's what happened, Judge.  
14 He asked the witness, "Did you file special exceptions?"  
15 Mr. Oubre said, "I don't remember." Then he's handed  
16 him the docket sheet from state court and said, "Look  
17 and see if there's anything on the docket sheet that  
18 says special exceptions." I would go so far as to say  
19 for my client I'll stipulate whatever the docket sheet  
20 says about that question.

21           **THE COURT:** That acceptable?

22           **MR. BITGOOD:** If he stipulates that he  
23 didn't file special exceptions, yes.

24           **MR. HELFAND:** No. I'll stipulate the  
25 docket sheet says whatever it says because that's the

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 52

1 only thing the witness can use right now.

2                   **THE COURT:** I don't have any idea of the  
3 filing system in state court, whether it's subject to  
4 omissions. I don't have any idea.

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** It's similar to federal  
6 court, Your Honor. Everything you file is given a -- a  
7 date it's filed, and it --

8                   **THE COURT:** Well, then, Mr. Helfand's offer  
9 or stipulation should be acceptable.

10                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay, Your Honor.

11                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, in connection with  
12 an appeal that was pending in the Fourteenth Court of  
13 Appeals styled Bitgood versus Martinez, who you claim is  
14 your client, what interest did you have in that appeal,  
15 if any?

16                  **A.** What do you mean "what interest"?

17                  **Q.** What interest did you have in it? Were you a  
18 lawyer in that case?

19                  **A.** I was a lawyer in that case for a while.

20                  **Q.** Okay. Would you take a look at Defendants  
21 Exhibit 38? This is your motion to dismiss my appeal.

22                  **MR. HELFAND:** It's not his motion unless he  
23 signed it. It's a motion.

24                  **MR. BITGOOD:** It is his motion. It says  
25 David Oubre's motion.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 53

1                   **MR. HELFAND:** Let me see the signature.

2                   **MR. FISHER:** Is that in this case?

3                   **MR. HELFAND:** No. No. This is an appeal.

4 Can I see this for a second? Okay. No. It's signed by  
5 me, so it's not Mr. Oubre's motion.

6                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) What is the style --**

7                   **MR. HELFAND:** It's my motion.

8                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) What is the style of the**  
9 **document?**

10                  **THE COURT:** Hold on. Hold on. I'm not  
11 hearing either of you now. What were you --

12                  **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) What's -- what's the title of**  
13 **the document, sir?**

14                  A. "David Oubre and Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &  
15 Smith, LLP's Opposed Motion to Dismiss Appeal and  
16 Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Extend Time to File  
17 Appellant's Brief."

18                  **Q. And you're that same David Oubre that sits**  
19 **before this court today, correct?**

20                  A. Yes.

21                  **Q. Okay. I'm handing you Exhibit No. 43. Would**  
22 **you read what Exhibit 43 says from the Fourteenth Court**  
23 **of Appeals?**

24                  **THE COURT:** This -- I don't think this is  
25 very helpful. I mean, the documents are going to be

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 54

1 self-explanatory. Is there some doubt about --

2                   **MR. BITGOOD:** I want to give you an  
3 example, Your Honor, and I want to make sure -- I  
4 normally don't have this problem. But if he's going to  
5 say, "Well, it's not Mr. Oubre's motion because I signed  
6 it," but the title says "Comes now David Oubre," I've  
7 got to attribute it belongs to him. Now, Mr. Helfand  
8 will tell you I don't know the law, but it's even the  
9 law in federal court. The lawyer may be doing the  
10 talking, but what he says belongs to you. So he's the  
11 client then. At that point he becomes the client.

12                   **MR. HELFAND:** The answer to your question  
13 is, no, Judge, there won't be any dispute about what a  
14 document says. There may be disputes about the way  
15 Mr. Easton tries to mischaracterize the documents, but  
16 there won't be a dispute about what a written document  
17 says.

18                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I object to that  
19 statement because you've asked me not -- not to do it to  
20 him, but he's being allowed to do it to me. I would  
21 really like to let him know how I feel about him. So if  
22 he could just make an objection we could get out of  
23 here.

24                   **THE COURT:** This is all -- this is all so  
25 unnecessary. Unless there's a legitimate dispute about

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 55

1       authenticity or verification let's quit asking what the  
2       document says.

3                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay.

4                   **THE COURT:** And let's move on to questions  
5       about facts.

6                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Okay.

7                   **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** You would agree, then, that  
8       the Court of Appeals struck your motion to dismiss,  
9       correct?

10          A. That's what the order says.

11          **Q. Thank you.**

12          **MR. BITGOOD:** Give me those others.

13          **MS. NORMAN:** Which one?

14          **MR. BITGOOD:** That one.

15          **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, you are aware that  
16       it is a crime in Texas to do business as an LLC or an  
17       LLP without paying the registration under the business  
18       code? Are you aware of that? Are you aware of it?

19          **MR. HELFAND:** One, that's a misstatement of  
20       the law. Two, it calls for a legal conclusion.

21          **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, reading the  
22       statute 71.02, "A person commits an offense if he  
23       conducts business, renders a professional service in the  
24       state under an assumed name, violates the chapter, it's  
25       a Class A misdemeanor, except when tampering with

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 56

1 governmental records." So I'm asking Mr. Oubre at the  
2 time he filed that appearance in Fort Bend under the  
3 name Lewis Brisbois did he know that he was committing a  
4 crime.

5 **THE COURT:** I don't think that's the kind  
6 of conduct the law is meant to pick up. I really don't.  
7 He -- you can have trademarks that are not registered  
8 with the Patent Office. You can have trademarks that  
9 are not registered with the state.

10 **MR. BITGOOD:** I understand His Honor's  
11 gentle nature about this. But I have to take for the  
12 assumption, the way they're attacking us, I have to  
13 marshal enough evidence to show that what he did was  
14 wrong. And that is wrong. And I understand, Your  
15 Honor, this is probably not what the statute intended to  
16 reach. I agree with you on that. But, nonetheless, he  
17 violated the statute. So when they come after us and  
18 they say we did this and we did that, that bothers me  
19 because, first of all, at the first hearing, October  
20 6th, you said, "I don't like this." We shut it down.  
21 Why are we still here?

22 **THE COURT:** I wondered that many times.

23 **MS. NORMAN:** Your Honor, may I be heard,  
24 please?

25 **THE COURT:** Yes.

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 57

1                   **MS. NORMAN:** There is a difference between  
2 a foreign company which is a California LLP being  
3 authorized by the Secretary of State to transact  
4 business in Texas and any use of the name. Those are  
5 two separate categories. This exhibit that -- the  
6 statute that Mr. Bitgood is referencing applies only to  
7 a company's being in a state, a foreign company being in  
8 Texas and transacting business. So if you don't have  
9 authority from the Texas Secretary of State to transact  
10 business in Texas that's when --

11                  **THE COURT:** That's a legal question. But  
12 asking this witness whether he agrees that it's a  
13 violation of law is asking him for a legal opinion.

14                  **MS. NORMAN:** And I'm -- and I'm not going  
15 to argue about that. What I'm talking about is the  
16 plaintiff is conflating two separate ideas. One idea is  
17 authorization to do business in Texas. The other is use  
18 of the four letter word mark, which at the time they --  
19 from July 10, 2020 didn't exist on their behalf.

20                  **MR. HELFAND:** The question to the witness  
21 was, "Are you aware of the fact that you were violating  
22 the law?" One, Mr. Easton has misstated the law. Two,  
23 this witness doesn't have to answer that question.

24                  **THE COURT:** He's not here as a legal  
25 expert. He's here as a fact witness. And I don't think

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 58

1 that's a fact question.

2 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Mr. Oubre, do you recall what  
3 the subject matter was of the case in Fort Bend County  
4 that you were hired to represent the -- your clients on?

5 A. Evictions.

6 Q. And you claimed in your pleadings that I was  
7 the subject of one of those evictions?

8 A. I mean, I don't remember if we claimed that in  
9 the pleading.

10 Q. Would it surprise you to know that I have my  
11 own residence, I was not evicted from Imperial Lofts?

12 MR. HELFAND: Objection, assumes facts not  
13 in evidence.

14 THE COURT: He can answer whether he knows  
15 that or not. I didn't know that. I'm not sure it was  
16 relevant. But, anyway, he knows that he can say so.

17 A. I thought there was some type of eviction  
18 proceeding against you to get you out of the apartment  
19 complex because you were smoking in the hallway or  
20 something or -- I don't know what it was you told me.  
21 And then there was a lawsuit involving that eviction,  
22 and I think Brad Beers is involved. But I'm not sure of  
23 the details of the whole process.

24 Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) At the time you made an  
25 appearance in 2022 I was not a resident of Imperial

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 59

1       Lofts. Were you aware of that?

2       A. No.

3       Q. Okay. But in your pleadings you put that I was  
4       improperly evicted. You recall doing that?

5       A. No.

6                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Get his motion for summary  
7       judgment.

8                   **MS. NORMAN:** What?

9                   **MR. BITGOOD:** The MSA -- MSJ filing.

10                  **MS. NORMAN:** I don't have the MSJ.

11                  **MR. BITGOOD:** It's here. One -- 1 B. 1 B.

12                  **MS. NORMAN:** Okay.

13                  **THE COURT:** Is there a question pending?

14                  **MR. BITGOOD:** No, Your Honor. I was  
15       getting an exhibit.

16                  Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) Mr. Oubre, I'm going to hand  
17       you Exhibit 1 B. This is your no-evidence motion for  
18       summary judgment in the Fort Bend County case.

19                  Paragraph 2 it says "Plaintiffs Richard P. Jones and  
20       Michael Bitgood filed suit claiming that Defendants  
21       Imperial Lofts, along with its agents Karina Martinez  
22       and Mariana Sullivan, improperly charged rental fees  
23       and" --

24                  **THE COURT:** Slow down.

25                  Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD) -- "improperly charged rental

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 60

1 fees and filed eviction petitions against them.  
2 Plaintiffs further allege Defendants had no standing to  
3 bring these eviction suits, and threatened Plaintiffs  
4 when they challenged Defendants' actions." Do you  
5 recall this now?

6 A. Vaguely.

7 Q. Well, the statements were made by you in that  
8 pleading, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Mr. Oubre, you are aware that on August 1st of  
11 2023 County Court at Law No. 1 in Fort Bend County  
12 declared all of the Imperial leases void?

13 A. No.

14 Q. You're not aware of that?

15 A. No.

16 Q. What exhibit are you holding, Mr. Oubre?

17 A. Forty-five.

18 Q. Exhibit 45 to the Oubre deposition is an  
19 article from the Fort Bend Herald.

20 MR. HELFAND: Well, an article that reports  
21 a court order is not an order of the court.

22 MR. BITGOOD: Well, we agree on something.

23 MR. HELFAND: But if you want to ask a  
24 question go ahead and ask a question about an article.  
25 That's not --

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 61

1                   **MR. BITGOOD:** I didn't ask him about an  
2 order of the court.

3                   **MR. HELFAND:** Yes, you did. You said, "Are  
4 you aware that the judge struck all your pleadings?"

5                   **MR. BITGOOD:** No. The question I --

6                   **MR. HELFAND:** That's exactly the question.

7                   **MR. BITGOOD:** The question I asked him was,  
8 "Are you aware that a county court at law in Fort Bend  
9 County declared all of Imperial Lofts leases void?"

10                  **MR. HELFAND:** Okay. Do you have that  
11 order?

12                  **MR. BITGOOD:** His answer was, "No."

13                  **MR. HELFAND:** Do you have that order?

14                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Do I have to present it the  
15 way you want?

16                  **THE COURT:** The newspaper article is  
17 hearsay, so we don't need to worry about that.

18                  **MR. HELFAND:** Thank you.

19                  **THE COURT:** If there's an order, we can  
20 look at it.

21                  **MR. HELFAND:** If you have the order, we'll  
22 be happy to look at it.

23                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, I believe  
24 Mr. Helfand has presented two or three publications and  
25 claimed that under Rule 901 -- I disagreed with him, but

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 62

1 the Court said it would look at it. And these were  
2 newspaper articles about Lewis Brisbois. If they are  
3 relevant for Lewis Brisbois, I can't see how they  
4 can't --

5 **THE COURT:** It's a statement made out of  
6 court by somebody who's not here to cross-examine so --

7 **MR. HELFAND:** It was 801, not 901.

8 **MR. BITGOOD:** No. It's nine.

9 **MR. HELFAND:** I know what I told the Court.

10 **MR. BITGOOD:** Which lie?

11 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Mr. Oubre, you are aware, or  
12 at least I've made you aware throughout the lawsuit,  
13 that your clients were allegedly stealing money from the  
14 federal government through the CARES Act, correct? That  
15 was part of the --

16 **MR. HELFAND:** I'm sorry, Judge. That's  
17 going to invade the attorney-client privilege, and it is  
18 so far afield of anything here. The question now is in  
19 that state court lawsuit did Mr. Easton allege that  
20 Mr. Oubre's clients were stealing federal money.

21 **MR. BITGOOD:** That's a good question.

22 **Q. (BY MR. BITGOOD)** Can you answer that?

23 **MR. HELFAND:** No. That would invade the  
24 attorney-client privilege.

25 **THE COURT:** Where does this issue come

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 63

1 from? I'm not familiar with any of this.

2                   **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, in one of your  
3 memorandums that you wrote early on in the case you put  
4 this was a rental dispute, an eviction. I've told the  
5 Court counter to that it was not, it was anything but  
6 that. His people were ripping off the federal  
7 government. The government has given money to people --

8                   **THE COURT:** But what -- what does that have  
9 to do at all with whether you're misusing Lewis  
10 Brisbois' name?

11                  **MR. BITGOOD:** That was sent to them on  
12 Lewis Brisbois letterhead as well. Still no objection  
13 from them.

14                  **MR. HELFAND:** I'm happy to look at that  
15 document, Judge. I've not seen that document before.

16                  **THE COURT:** Well, any law firm gets  
17 negative publicity. I'm just not sure how it bears on  
18 our question today, which is whether somebody was  
19 inappropriately using the firm's name. Any law firm has  
20 negative publicity. I don't think it helps us to go  
21 into it. We'd be here in perpetuity I'm afraid.

22                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Your Honor, may I ask a  
23 question, then, of you? If we've shut this down, and  
24 this ended October of 2022, why are we still fighting  
25 this case?

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 64

1                   **THE COURT:** Well --

2                   **MR. BITGOOD:** I don't understand.

3                   **THE COURT:** -- I'm not allowed to get into  
4 questions of settlement. I assume you've been unable to  
5 achieve settlement. I do think it's a -- a lawsuit that  
6 has outlived its usefulness. I think the battle lines  
7 have been drawn for a long time. I think the parties  
8 need to settle it. It's especially important that it be  
9 settled because I -- I fear with each passing month it's  
10 going to be harder to settle because there are going to  
11 be more attorneys' fees incurred. I can't settle it for  
12 you, and I -- in judicial conduct tutorials and seminars  
13 I'm specifically warned not to try.

14                  **MR. HELFAND:** Well, to be sure, Your Honor,  
15 we've made numerous offers to settle, all of which have  
16 been rejected. So Your Honor is correct --

17                  **THE COURT:** I'm not going to get into  
18 settlement --

19                  **MR. HELFAND:** We can't seem to settle.

20                  **MR. BITGOOD:** Offers to settle that include  
21 criminal acts we cannot accept. That's the reason why  
22 we didn't settle.

23                  **MR. HELFAND:** Whatever reason you don't  
24 want to settle. You don't have to settle.

25                  **THE COURT:** Let's not talk about

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 65

1 settlement. I don't want to know --

2 **MR. HELFAND:** But I think you need to stop  
3 asking why we're here because we're here because we  
4 won't settle, because we can't settle.

5 **MR. BITGOOD:** I'll pass the witness, Your  
6 Honor.

7 **MS. NORMAN:** I have no questions, Your  
8 Honor.

9 **THE COURT:** No questions?

10 EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. DUNWOODY:

12 **Q. Mr. Oubre, you know Brad Beers?**

13 A. Through this case.

14 **Q. Which case are you referring to?**

15 A. I know that he's involved as a defendant in  
16 this case.

17 **Q. Okay.**

18 A. I met him personally in the state court case.

19 **Q. Which one came first, state court case or this  
20 one?**

21 A. The state court case.

22 **Q. And so you said you met Mr. Beers in connection  
23 with the state court case. What did he have to do with  
24 the state court case?**

25 A. He was involved representing the plaintiffs in

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 66

1 a motion that they had filed. He prepared a motion.

2 Q. **Anti-SLAPP motion?**

3 A. Yeah.

4 Q. **And he was -- appeared as a lawyer in the state**  
5 **court action in connection with the anti-SLAPP motion?**

6 A. Right.

7 Q. **And that's how you knew him?**

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. **And who was he representing?**

10 A. I mean, I don't recall specifically which  
11 plaintiffs --

12 Q. **Some of the opposing parties, though?**

13 A. Yes.

14 MR. DUNWOODY: All right. Nothing further.

15 MR. HELFAND: We don't have any questions.

16 MR. BITGOOD: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 MR. HELFAND: Thank you for your time,  
18 Judge.

19 (Whereupon at 2:32 p.m. the  
20 deposition was concluded.)

21 (Exhibit No. 10 marked.)

22

23

24

25

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 67

1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE

2 **WITNESS NAME:** DAVID A. OUBRE

3 **DATE OF DEPOSITION:** APRIL 1, 2024

4 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON

5 \_\_\_\_\_

6 \_\_\_\_\_

7 \_\_\_\_\_

8 \_\_\_\_\_

9 \_\_\_\_\_

10 \_\_\_\_\_

11 \_\_\_\_\_

12 \_\_\_\_\_

13 \_\_\_\_\_

14 \_\_\_\_\_

15 \_\_\_\_\_

16 \_\_\_\_\_

17 \_\_\_\_\_

18 \_\_\_\_\_

19 \_\_\_\_\_

20 \_\_\_\_\_

21 \_\_\_\_\_

22 \_\_\_\_\_

23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 \_\_\_\_\_

25 \_\_\_\_\_

**Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc.**  
**(800) 745-1101**

**MSJ Exhibit 7 - Defendants' Response**  
**Page 67 of 71**

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 68

1 I, DAVID A. OUBRE, have read the foregoing  
2 deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is  
3 true and correct, except as noted above.

5 DAVID A. OUBRE

6  
7 THE STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_ )  
8 COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_ )  
9

10 Before me, \_\_\_\_\_, on  
11 this day personally appeared DAVID A. OUBRE, known to me  
12 (or proved to me under oath or through  
13 \_\_\_\_\_) (description of identity  
14 card or other document) to be the person whose name is  
subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged  
to me that they executed the same for the purposes and  
consideration therein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.

16  
17  
18 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR  
19 THE STATE OF \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMISSION EXPIRES: \_\_\_\_\_  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## **MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 69

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & S  
SMITH, LLP, S

Plaintiff,

V. 

§ Case No. 4:22-cv-3279

MICHAEL JOSEPH BITGOOD,  
a/k/a "Michael Easton,"  
et al.,

## Defendants.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION  
DEPOSITION OF DAVID A. OUBRE

APRIL 1, 2024

15 I, John G. Rochelle, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
16 in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the  
17 following:

18 That the witness, DAVID A. OUBRE, was duly sworn  
19 and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true  
20 record of the testimony given by the witness;

That the deposition transcript was submitted on \_\_\_\_\_ to the witness or to the attorney for the witness for examination, signature and return to Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc., by ;

That the amount of time used by each party at the

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 70

1 deposition is as follows:

2 Mr. Bennett G. Fisher - 00:00

3 Mr. William S. Helfand - 00:00

4 Mr. S. Wallace Dunwoody IV - 00:01

5 Mr. Michael Joseph Bitgood - 01:15

6 Ms. Susan C. Norman - 00:00

7 That pursuant to information given to the  
8 deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,  
9 the following includes counsel for all parties of  
10 record:

11 Mr. Bennett G. Fisher, Mr. William S. Helfand,  
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard &  
13 Smith, LLP;

14 Mr. S. Wallace Dunwoody IV, Attorney for Defendant,  
15 Bradley Beers;

16 Mr. Michael Joseph Bitgood, Defendant Pro Se;

17 Ms. Susan C. Norman, Attorney for Defendant,  
18 Richard P. Jones.

19 That \$\_\_\_\_\_ is the deposition officer's  
20 charges to the Defendant, Susan C. Norman, counsel for  
21 Richard P. Jones, for preparing the original deposition  
22 transcript and any copies of exhibits.

23 I certify that a review of the transcript was  
24 required by court order.

25 I also certify pursuant to the presiding judge the

**MSJ EXHIBIT 7 - DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE**

Page 71

1       federal read on by the reporter for the record was not  
2 required.

3       I further certify that I am neither counsel for,  
4 related to, nor employed by any of the parties or  
5 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was  
6 taken, and further that I am not financially or  
7 otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

8       Certified to by me this 13th day of April, 2024.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14       \_\_\_\_\_  
15       JOHN G. ROCHELLE

16       Texas CSR No. 5644

17       Expiration Date: 10/31/25

18       Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc.

19       Firm Registration No. 223

20       12621 Featherwood Drive, Suite 290

21       Houston, Texas 77034

22       (713) 572-2000

23  
24  
25

